Colorado Union Taxpayers P.O. Box 9300 Boulder, CO 80301

Phone: 988-4196 or 444-3241

Bulk Rate
U.S. Postage
PAID
Boulder, CO. 80303

Permit No. 161

RATES THE LEGISLATURE

FIFTY-THIRD GENERAL ASSEMBLY 1981 — 1982

COLORADO UNION OF TAXPAYERS

Allied with National Taxpayers Union

* * *			CONGRESSIONAL	. SCORES *	*	*	*		
S	ENATE				HOUSE				
Armstrong, William	R	74	Good	Brown, Hank	R	74	Good		
*Hart, Gary	Ð	24	Big Spender	Kramer, Ken	R	55	Above Ave		
*Worst score decline	in Ser	nate.	•	*Schroeder, Pat	D	53	Above Ave		
National T			vion	Wirth, Tim	D	34	Below Ave		
1981 Spe				Kogovsek, Ray	D	26	Big Spender		
1301 306	munig	Glady	y	*Notable gain of 23 points.					
* *	*		LEGISLATIVE	SCORES	*	*	*		
STATE SENATORS	>		Of Park Control of the Control of th	STATE REPRESENTAT	TIVES	Washington Company	ali manda and in the second		

	* *	*	FEGISEALIA	E SCORE		7 7	₹ * ₹	
STA	TE SENATORS			STATE	REPRESEN	ITATIVES		
NAME	CITY	RANK	NAME	CITY	RANK	NAME	CITY	RANK
Dodge	R Denver	78	Randall	R Colorado Springs	100	Reeves	R Littleton	60
Mac Manus		71	Scherling	R Aurora	100	Schauer	R Littleton	60
Hefley	R Colorado Springs		Bledsoe	R Hugo	90	Shoemaker	D Canon City	60
Powers, R.	R Colorado Springs		Lee	R Lakewood	90	Armstrong	R Grand Junction	56
Soash	D Steamboat Springs		Winkler	R Castle Rock	90	McCrosky	D Denver	56
Zakhem	R Denver	67	Boley	D Pueblo	89	Hamlin	R Fort Morgan	50
Bishop	R Grand Junction	63	DeFilippo	R Golden `	89	Robb	R Grand Junction	
Fowler	R Boulder	63	Erickson	R Loveland	80	Traylor	R Wheat Ridge	50
Holme	D Denver	63	Hinman	R Kremmling	80	Eberle	D Denver	40
Noble	R Norwood	63	Lillpop	R Alamosa	80	Faatz	R Denver	40
Strickland	R Westminster	60	Mielke	R Lakewood	80	Hume	R Boulder	40
Schaefer	R Lakewood	57	Minahan	R Security	80	Kopel	D Denver	40
Allshouse	R Aurora	56	Prendergas	t R Denver	80	Dyer	D Longmont	33
Anderson	R Loveland	56		n R Colorado Springs	80	Johnson	D Pueblo	33
Powers, P.	R Denver	56	Strahle	R Fort Collins	80	Marks	D Northglenn	33
Stewart	D Longmont	56	Underwood	d R Olathe	80	Massari	D Trinidad	33
Yost	R Crook	56	Youngland	R New Raymer	80	Lucero	D Pueblo	30
Beatty	R Fort Collins	50	Hastings	D Sterling	78	Hudson	D Denver	22
Becker	R Colorado Springs	50	Tebedo	R Colorado Springs	75	Webb	D Denver	22
Clark	R LaJunta	50	Ford	R Arvada	75	Orten	D Westminster	20
Ezzard	R Englewood	50	Paulson	R Englewood	71	Skaggs	D Boulder	20
Gallagher	D Denver	50	Artist	R Greeley	70	Sullivan	D Meeker	20
Kadlecek	D Greeley	50	Denier	R Durango	70	Wright	D Boulder	20
Barnhill	R Golden	44	Gillis	R Lamar	70	Chavez	D Denver	13
Hatcher	D Gunnison	44	Herzog	R Colorado Springs		Castro	D Denver	10
Phelps	D Pueblo	44	Neale	R Denver	70	Davoren	D Denver	10
Stockton	R Lakewood	44	Rogers	R Denver	70	DeHerrera	D Denver	0
Susemihl	R Colorado Springs	44	Kirscht	R Pueblo	67	Knox	D Denver	0
Meiklejohn	R Arvada	38	Spano	R Arvada	67	Martinez	D Commerce City	, 0
Beno	D Pueblo	33	Spelts	R Littleton	63	Pena	D Denver	Ō
Cole	R Denver	33	Chaplin	R Broomfield	60	Taylor	D Denver	ō
Groff	D Denver	33	Fine	R Greeley	60	i uyioi		•
Sandoval, D	D.D Denver	29	Fine Heim	R Colorado Springs				
Baca Barraga	nD Thornton	20	Larson	R Colorado Springs				
Sandoval, P	.D Denver	17	Laisuii	n colorado aprings	00			

I WANT TO HELP

I approve of your volunteer work for taxpayers. Overspending has become a bureaucratic pastime! Coloradoans need a counterbalancing force to the spending lobbies.										
☐ I wish to support CUT with a contribution of \$10☐ \$15☐ \$20☐ \$25☐.										
☐ I wish to order 25☐ 50☐ 100☐ 200☐ Other ratings at 10¢ each.										
☐ I want to be on the CUT team, please let me know how I can help.										
Name Phone(s)										
Address										
City/State/Zip										

BILL DEFINITIONS AND RELATED FACTS*

TAX RELIEF A MODERATE ATTEMPT UNDERWAY

In 1979 42% of Colorado personal income was paid to government. An average family paid \$10,735. Of this \$4,298. went to state and local government. Over the last 10 years, state taxes have outpaced both local and federal taxes. This year the cost of state and local government exceeds 5 billion dollars. The trend is for more spending and higher taxes; which can double your taxes by 1987. Of the 281 million shown as "tax relief", only \$126 million or 45% went to you. The balance of \$155 million went to: Colorado Water Conservation Construction Fund received \$10 million; and a huge addition of \$145 million went to education.

REGARDING ACTUAL VALUE FOR PROPERTY TAX ASSESSMENT

1982 **PASSED**

HCR 1005 In 1976 adjusted valuations resulted in a 29% increase in property taxes. To prevent large increases on residential properties, the legislature offers a constitutional amendment to the voters to lower residential values from 30% to 21% of value; and business properties from 30% to 29%. Ideally this law should have been by statutory rather than constitutional; but this approach was blocked by the Governor.

Preferred Vote: Yes

Senate: Yes 24 No 8 House: Yes 46 No 18

TAX RELIEF FOR INDIVIDUALS AND BUSINESS

1981 **PASSED**

HB 1617 Provides for a 16% credit against Income Taxes; gives a tax credit for pollution control property and installs a lower graduated tax rate on business income

> Governor Lamm vetoed this bill, wishing to keep the money for additional government spending. His veto was overridden.

Preferred Vote: Yes

Senate: Yes 24 No 4

House: Yes 44 No 16

EDUCATION OVER HALF OF ALL STATE SPENDING

Regular appropriations of 1.2 billion, or 52% went to education in 1982. In addition \$126 million of "tax relief" was awarded. Property taxes added another \$695 million; and the State School District Review Board added it's share. This massive spending continues while student enrollment declined by 5% in the last five

Higher Education spent \$627 million - 5% less than last year however, elementary and secondary schools spent \$585 million for an increase of 6%. Thus higher education has taken a responsible step to lower the cost of education; however primary and secondary education hasn't yet learned the lesson.

ABOLISH THE STATE SCHOOL DISTRICT **REVIEW BOARD**

HB 1273 The schools can skirt the 7% limitation on spending 1981 FAILED

by asking the voters for more money at a special election, or by a request to the State School District Review Board. Since 1975 there have been 514 request for \$263 million, of which 54% was approved. These increases become part of the funding base in future years; therefore they are cumulative and permanent. \$50,000 in 1975 would have grown to \$350,000 today. By comparison during this period there have been 44 special elections held asking for \$26 million. The voters approved only \$2.4 million, or a voter's choice of only 9%.

CUT feels strongly that tax increases should be decided by those who will pay the tax.

Preferred Vote: Yes

Senate: Pl

House: Yes 36 No 27

ENGLISH LANGUAGE PROFICIENCY ACT

SB 462 1981 PASSED

This bill replaces the Bilingual-Bicultural Education Act of 1975. While the old bill created a parallel education in Spanish and English, the new Act provides a transition to proficient English, where no more remedial cost is needed.

Preferred Vote: Yes

Senate: Yes 24 No 10

House: Yes 42 No 21

BILL DEFINITIONS AND RELATED FACTS, CON'T.

COUNTING PERIOD FOR SCHOOL ATTENDANCE ENTITLEMENT

SB 83 1982 PASSED

Changes the counting period from one day to a four week period. The one day was filled with abuse and pandering to the students with free ice cream and movies. The new realistic counting period should lower the student count and therefore the entitlement.

Preferred Vote: Yes Senate: Yes 31 No 1

House: Yes 57 No 5

SOCIAL SERVICES A SPENDING JUMP OF NEARLY 20% IN 1982

Social Services increased their spending by nearly 20% last year, accounting for one fifth of regular appropriations. Federal funds account for half of this spending. Any cut back at the federal level would pose tough questions for the state; deep cuts in services or massive tax increases.

LOANS FOR LOW OR MODERATE INCOME HOUSING

SB 28 1982 PASSED The Act sets up a fund of \$870,000 in 1982 or 15% of the appropriations to housing, to be used for low cost loans, for development and rehabilitation prior to completion. This Act will tie up land and money that could be better used in the free market. It will

raise interest costs for the rest of us.

Preferred Vote: No Senate: Yes 32 No 0

House: Yes 45 No 16

APPROPRIATIONS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES

SB 501 1981 PASSED This Act increased total appropriations to the department by \$26 million in one year. 13 increases ranged from \$16 million for medical assistance to \$344,000 for food stamps. Only two minor decreases were made. The size of the increases is unjustified.

Preferred Vote: No Senate: Yes 28 No 2

House: Yes 40 No 25

GROWTH OF GOVERNMENT STATE SPENDING REACHES \$3.3 BILLION, A 22.2% INCREASE OVER LAST YEAR

With personal income increasing only 9% last year, state government increased its spending from \$2.7 Billion in 1981 to \$3.3 Billion in 1982; an increase of over 22%. What ever happened to the 7% Limitation? Since 1963 to present the CPI has increased about 300%. State government nearly 1000%. Thirteen new agencies or activities were started in 1981 for start up costs of \$92 million. Fortunately 85 bills were offered for over \$300 million that did not pass. When you consider there were 1,140 bills introduced in 1982, an average of 11 bills per legislator, don't we have too much government?

FINANCING URBAN RENEWAL AND DOWNTOWN PROJECTS

SB 142 1981 PASSED Allows increased sales and property tax to halt deterioration of blighted areas in central business districts. Government is taking available money from more resourceful, less expensive free market.

Preferred Vote: No Senate: Yes, 22 No 11

1 House: Yes 60 No 3

MASS TRANSIT IN THE METROPOLITAN AREA

SB 132

1982 PASSED

Asks for an additional sales tax for mass transit, and limits future bond elections. The government is limiting the voters' right to decide on an issue which they do not favor, and for a potentially heavy tax

load which they cannot afford.

Preferred Vote: No

Senate: Yes 27 No 6 House: Yes 37 No 27

STATE EMPLOYEES SALARIES AND BENEFITS RANK NEAR THE TOP

Colorado State Employees are paid well above the national average. Colorado ranks 4th among the 50 states. State personnel salaries increased from \$2.2 million to \$2.8 million, a 15% pay raise. Salaries and benefits are in excess of the private sector.

STATE PERSONNEL SYSTEM AND COMPENSATION

SB 308 1981

1981 PASSED Provides that an employee's advancement and pay shall be based on performance. "Grade or Bracket Creep" has been placing employees in higher class pay with no increased responsibility; hence our run away growth of state salaries.

Preferred Vote: Yes

Senate: Yes 24 No 6

House: Yes 44 No 18

CUT IS WORKING TO LOWER TAXES THROUGH REDUCED GOVERNMENT SPENDING. This rating measures each legislator taxing and spending philosophy. Ten bills were selected over five areas: 1. Tax Relief - 2. Cost of Education - 3. Cost of Social Services - 4. Growth of Government - 5. Cost of State Employees.

(*) Sources: Budget of Colorado-1982, State Budget Office Fiscal Notes, and Colorado Pubic Expenditures Council Reports.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: ERIC DEGRAFF, PRESIDENT AT 988-4196.

HOW YOUR SENATOR VOTED

		28-SS	83-ED	132-GG	142-GG	308-SE	462-ED	501-SS	1005-TR	1617-TR	PERCENT
Allshouse	R	<u>-</u> ·	+	-	-	+	+	-	÷	+	56
Anderson	R	-	+	•	-	+	+	-	+	+	56
Baca Barragan	D	-	+	4	Z	Z	-	_	Ζ	Ζ	20
Barnhill	R	-	+	7		+	+	-	+	-	44
Beatty	R	-	Z	-	-	+	+		+	+	50
Becker	R	-	+	-		+	+	-	+	Z	50
Beno	D	1	+			+	-	-	+	-	33
Bishop	R	-	+	-		+	+	Z	+	+	63
Clark	R	-	+			+	+	-	+	Z	50
Cole	R	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	33
Dodge	R	-	+		+	+	+	+	+	+	78
Ezzard	R	_	+		-	+	+	Z	<u> </u>	+	50
Fowler	R	-	+	Z	-	+	+	-	+	+	63
Gallagher	D	z	+	+	+	-	-		Z		50
Groff	D	-	-	 	+	+		-	+		33
Hatcher	D	-	+	-	Τ-	+] -]	+	+	44
Hefley	R	-	+	+	+	+	+	Ī -	I -	+	67
Holme	D		+	+	+	+	_	-	+	Z	63

		28-85	83-ED	132-GG	142-GG	308-SE	462-ED	501-SS	1005-TR	1617-TR	PERCENT
Kadlecek	D	-	+	-	+	z			+	+	50_
MacManus	D	_	+	+	Z	+	+	_	+	Z	71
Meiklejohn	R	-	+	-	-	Z	+	-	_	+	38
Noble	R	Z	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	63
Pheips	D	-	+	-	-	+	+		+		44
Powers, P	R	-	+		-	+	+	-	+	+	56
Powers, R.	R	-	+	+		+	+	-	+	+	67
Sandoval, D.	D	-	+	-		+	<u> </u>	Z	<u> </u>	Z	29
Sandoval, P.	D	-	Z	-	-	Z	-	Z	<u> -</u>	+	17
Schaefer	R	-	+	_	_	+	Z	+	Z	+	57
Soash	D	Z	+_	_	+	Z	+	<u> </u>	+	Z	67
Stewart	D	-	+	+	+	+		<u> </u>	+	<u> </u>	56
Stockton	R	I -	+			+	+	_	<u> </u>	+	44
Strickland	R	-	Z	Z	<u> </u>	+	+	Z	Z	+	- 60
Susemihl	R	-	+		-	+	+	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	+	44
Yost	R	-	+	-	-	+	+		+	+	756
Zakhem	R	-	+	<u> </u>	+	+	+	<u> </u>	+	+	67

TR-Tax Relief ED-Education SS-Social Services GG-Growth of Government SE-State Employees

HOW YOUR REPRESENTATIVE VOTED

								_				
		28-85	83-ED	132-GG	142-GG	308-SE	462-ED	501-SS	1005-TR	1273-ED	1617-TR	PERCENT
Armstrong	R	-	z	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	56
Artist	R	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	70_
Bledsoe, M.	R	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	90
Boley	D	-	+	+	Z	. +	+	+	+	+	+	89
Castro	D	-	+		-	_	-		-		-	10
Chaplin	R	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	60
Chavez	D	-	+		-	Z	-		-	Z	-	13
Davoren	D	-	-	-	-		~	-	+	-	-	10
DeFilippo	R	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	Z	89
DeHerrera	D	-	-	-	_		_	_	-	-	-	0
DeNier	R		+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	70
Dyer	D	-	+	-	-	Z	-	-	+		+	33
Eberle	D	_	+	_	-	+			+	-	+	40
Erickson	R	-	+	+		+	+	+	+	+	+	80
Faatz	R	-	+	-		-	+		+	-	+	40
Fine	R	<u> -</u>	+	+		+_	+		+	ļ <u> </u>	+	60
Ford	R	<u> -</u>	+	+	Z	Z	Z	Z	. +	Z	Z	75
Gillis	R	+	+	+	<u> </u>		+	-	+	+	+	70
Hamlin	R	<u> </u>	+	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	+	50
Hastings	D	-	+	+	Z	+	+	+	-	+	+	78
Heim	R		+	<u> </u>	-	+	+		+	+	+	60
Herzog	R	<u> </u>	+	+		+	+	-	+	+	+	70
Hinman	R	+	+		-	+	+	+	+	+	+	80
Hudson	D	ļ -	+	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	-		+	<u> </u>	Z	22
Hume	R		+	+	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	+		<u> </u>	-	+	40
Johnson	D	-	+	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	+	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	+		Z	33
Kirscht	R	-	+	-	ļ <u> </u>	+	+	<u> -</u>	+	+	+	67
Knox	D	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	 -	-	-	-	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	 - -	Z	0
Kopel	D	1-	+	+	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	-	<u> </u>	+	<u> </u>	+	40
Larson	R	-	+	J =_	<u> </u>	+	+	<u> </u>	+	+	+	60
Lee	R	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	90
Lillpop	R	+	+	+	-	+	+	<u> </u>	+	+	+	80
Lucero	D_	<u> </u>	+		-	+	l <u>-</u> _	<u> </u>	1 -		+	30

PIP	Printing,	9797	W.	Colfax,	Lakewood,	CO		(303)	237-0406	gratefully
ackn	owledges	the op	port	unity to p	participate in	effor	ts '	toward	better gov	ernment

		28-SS	83-ED	132-GG	142-GG	308-SE	462-ED	501-SS	1005-TR	1273-ED	1617-TR	PERCENT							
Marks	D	z	+	-]	-	+	-]	-	+	-		33							
Martinez	D	-			-	-	-	-	-	-	Z	0							
Massari	D	Z	+	Z	-	-	Z		+	-	Z	33							
McCrosky	D	-	+	+	-	+	z	-	+	-	+	56							
Mielke	R	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	80							
Minahan	R	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	80							
Neale	R	+	+	<u> </u>	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	70							
Orten	D		+	-		+	-	-	-	-		20							
Paulson	R	Z	Z	-		+	+	+	Z	+	+	71							
Pena	D		-	<u> </u>	-	-	-		_	-	-	0							
Prendergast	R	+	+			+	+	+	+	+	+	80							
Randall	R	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100							
Reeves	R		+	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	60							
Robb	R		+	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	+	+	+	+		50							
Rogers	R	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	70							
Schauer	R	<u> </u>	+		_	+	+	-	+	+	+	60							
Scherling	R	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100							
Shoemaker	D	+	+		-	+	+	+	+			60							
Skaggs	D	<u> </u>	+	-	-	+	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	_	-	20							
Spano	R] -	+	+		+	+	+		+	Z	67							
Speltz	R	Z	+	+		-	+	+	+	-	Z	63							
Stephenson	R	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	80							
Strahle	R	-	+	+		+	+	+	+	+	+	80							
Sullivan	D		+	-	-	+	-	-	-	<u> -</u>		20							
Taylor	D		Z	<u> </u>	-	-	-	-	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	0							
Tebedo	R		+	+	Z	Z	Z	Z	+	Z	Z	75							
Traylor	R	<u> </u>	+		<u> </u>	+	+	-	+	<u> </u>	+	50							
Underwood	R	+	+	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	+	+	+	+	+	+	80							
Webb	D		+	+	1-	<u> </u>	-	-	<u> -</u>	Z	<u> </u>	22							
Winkler	R	+	+	+	<u> </u>	+	+	+	+	+	+	90							
Wright	D	<u> </u>	+		<u> </u>	+	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> -</u>	<u> </u>		20							
Younglund	R	+	+		<u> </u>	+	+	+	+	+	+	80							
Democrat (D), R	epublica	an (R)	. Prefe	erred \	/ote (+), Wr	ong V	Democrat (D), Republican (R), Preferred Vote (+), Wrong Vote (-), Absent or Excused (Z)											

Democrat (D), Republican (R), Preferred Vote (+), Wrong Vote (-), Absent or Excused (Z) Each legislator is given a percentage on votes actually cast. Absences are not penalized

^{*} Ford and Tebedo were not part of the 1981 Legislature